

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/047,527 10/23/2001 Anthony M. Chasser 1700A1 3962 -7590 12/09/2003 **EXAMINER** PPG INDUSTRIES, INC. BISSETT, MELANIE D Intellectual Property Department ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER One PPG Place Pittsburgh, PA 15272 1711

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the same	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/047,527	CHASSER ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Melanie D. Bissett	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply to a h
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	HORRES AS AS CHÁIRTE.
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see:NOTE below);;://ora
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	େ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଜ ଖିଲ୍ଲ , ସହୁଥିଲିଥିଲେ । ବ୍ୟବ୍ରିକ ଓ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଜ ପ୍ରେମ୍ବର କର୍ମ
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or		
\odot (d) \square , they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	y the large matter of the second	in the control of pine with a green with the real series.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ans, and a weather the
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered and an w or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		All Marie Control of the All States of the Control
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-7,9,13-15 and 18</u> .	الموران	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	The second second	and the second of the second o
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		
	λ	7
		\cdot . λ

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Although the applicant relies on unexpected results to overcome the rejection based on 35 USC 103, it is the examiner's position that the results are not commensurate in scope with the claims. The table shows only one working example using the claimed phenolic compound, which is compared to coatings having othe phenolic groups. Note that the examples do not seem to indicate the amount of phenolic compound used. This does not establish a tren of unexpected results, since the examples do not show beneficial results across the claimed range. Do the results depend on an amount of phenolic compound used and would the results differ at points just outside the claimed range? Note also that the examples use the same carboxylated polyester compound. Since the claims encompass all carboxylic group-containing polymers, these results do not establish a trend over all polymer coatings. In other words, the examples do not show sufficient results to support unexpected results across the claimed genus of carboxylated polymer compounds.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER